

(0) Dick

List of "Sensative Words"
In ERPP

Volume I

1. pg 13 "small dams that no longer serve a purpose will be...removed"
2. pg 14 Designated River erosion (meander) zones--we need to discuss treatment of "hard points"
3. pg 64 "if the land being protected is marginally productive"

Volume II

1. Restrict diversions--convert to "real time"
2. Reduce South Delta exports--convert to
3. "Remove obstacles that block migration"
- 01 4. pg 136 Restrict dredging to September 1 to November 31
- 02 5. pg 141 No net loss of shallow water to dredging
- 03 6. pg 184 Reduce contaminants
- 04 7. pg 184, 209 Reduce effects of exotic species--including regulations
- 05 8. pg 189 Regulation of ballast water
- 06 9. pg 201 Prohibited, regulated
- 07 10. pg 209 Reduce boat activity
- 08 11. pg 287 CALFED supports--change to "this plan supports"
- 09 12. CALFED will... shall...--change to this plan proposes that...
- 010 13. The CALFED vision for...--change to "The proposed vision..."
- 011 14. Reduce blockage of anadromous fish routes to the point of removal--change to "provide solutions to the migratory blockage where feasible"

SYaeger/CALFED
3/3/97
Revised 3/4/97

syaeger\lists\policye.rpp

ERPP
REVISION ITEMS

1. Full volume - Actions need to be stated in the correct voice - not "action x will be implemented" ?? ... is recommended by this program"??
2. Revise "levee deauthorization or relocation" pg 371 and others.
3. Revise "Provide additional funding to enforce state laws pertaining tp point and non-point source pollution" - investigate State Board and RWQCB policies and actions and be specific how CALFED can work with existing programs.
4. Map sub Units (Ecological) need to be defined in the legends.
5. Some summaries (first paragraphs) of visions are recitals of the Pathway and need to be changed to be a true introduction and summary like pages 375 and 357.
6. Grading system implies we know more than we do - change to high/medium/low.
7. Take out CALFED's vision, CALFED envisions, etc., everywhere. Replace with "this plan's vision, the ERPP vision", etc.
8. Pulse flows - designate a length of time--it can't be the fulllength of inflow support.
0129. Pathway's need to be like page 188 - a discussion of institutional path - not a recital of actions.

Logic & Structure Problems

1. Structure inconsistent from vision to vision.
2. I don't understand why we have (as on pg 381) actions in the early section and then repeat them (pg 398) in the actions section.

SYaeger/CALFED

3/3/97

Revised 3/4/97

syaeger\lists\policye.rpp